

## Shortcomings commonly found in FCA proposals/Compliance:

1. Check list not filled up properly. Many columns are left blank.
2. Indexing/Page numbering not done/ improperly done.
3. Name, Designation/Stamp, Place, Date not given.
4. Approval of competent authority for executing the Project not attached.
5. Required NOCs not attached.
6. Break up of component wise requirement of land & plan not given.
7. Figures/facts given at different pages do not match.
8. Specific recommendation of DFO/CF missing.
9. Calculation of Muck generation, disposal & reclamation plan incomplete.
10. Joint inspection report too sketchy.
11. Alternatives examined not attached/shown on topo sheet.
12. Component wise/Tower to tower/KM wise enumeration of trees not given.
13. Cost Benefit analysis and ratio for area > 5 ha not worked out.
14. Too many cuttings/ over writings without attestation.
15. Photo copies not legible/ not attested.
16. Scientific names of trees not given.
17. Enumeration list and abstract do not tally.
18. Enumeration list and abstract not authenticated/signed by DFO.
19. C.A. Scheme not as per norm/ not approved by CF.
20. Information regarding violation not given as per requirement of the column.
21. For muck dumping in private area, affidavit of land owners not attached.
22. Power evacuation plan on topo sheet for the area duly authenticated by competent authority not attached.
23. Variation between amount shown in proposal and compliance.
24. In case of time lag between in principle approval and compliance, report of violation/non violation not given.
25. Topo sheet is neither original nor coloured photo copy.
26. Proposal is not accompanied by Digital Map of the forest land proposed for diversion prepared by using Total Station or Differential GPS duly authenticated by competent authority in State Govt- Hard and Soft copy.